Development of Occupational Safety Indicators for Wineries in Selected States

Dr. Jaime Thissen

Environmental Studies Department, Center for Sustainability Studies, Bemidji State University Department of Agricultural and Biological Engineering, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

Objectives

The goal and scope of this study is to develop a score (SA-Score) for adoption by commercial wineries involving key safety indicators¹. Specifically, the objectives are:

1.Partner with commercial wineries on a voluntary basis to collect selected parameters including water use, total production economics and safety procedures.

Methodology

Twenty wineries from 4 different regions of the USA were included. The regions are: Northeast, Upper Midwest, Lower Midwest and Michigan.

The metric and overall SA-Score equation are as follows:

SA = [SA2 + SA2 + SA3 + SA4 + SA5 + SA6 + SA7 + SA8]/8Where SA = Safety Metric

SA1 = Glass Hazards

Preliminary Results/Discussion

(1)Most facilities had a range of scores from 4-8

- (2)Slip, trip and fall hazards were not adequately addressed at most locations
- (3)For outdoor field operations, tractors needed to have ROPS
- (4)Field areas cultivated for grapes should have better grass management

2 .Establish a single, identifiable metric for rating the sustainability of participating wineries.



Figure 1: All sites visited utilized some type of input. We did not encounter any "truly organic" sites.



SA2 = Slip, Trip and Fall Hazards

- SA3 = Employee Miscellaneous
- SA4 = Traffic Safety
- SA5 = First Aid
- *SA6* = Materials Handling and Safety
- SA7 = Ergonomics
- SA8 = Personal Protection Equipment

Number	Descriptor	S-score Range
0	Absent	Absent
1-3	Poor for given	Metric is Barely Present (1), Given Little
	parameter; no	Consideration (2), Given Some
	consideration or	Consideration, But Not Implemented
	data	Adequately (3)
4 - 6	Mildly decent	Metric is Adequate, But Below
	execution for	Average (4), Average (5), Slightly
	parameter	Above Average (6)
7-9	Moderate	Safety Management is Slightly Above
	success for	Average (7), Skillfully Implemented
	safety	(8), Exceptional (9)

(5)Intersectional spacing remains an issue and requires multiple-use management

Preliminary Conclusions

 Wineries with formal health and safety training programs ultimately had higher scores.

2) Larger facility scores were improved by concerns regarding liability and production efficiency.

Acknowledgement

funding for this project.

I would to acknowledge Tau Beta Pi and the Illini Honors Society for providing partial

Figure 2: Example of Outdoor Field Agriculture



Figure 3: Is this proper signage?

Excellent success for safety

10

Optimal facility for All Safety Parameters

Table 1: Scale for Assessing SA-Scores

Safety Scores (SA-Scores) of Selected Wineries



References

 (1) Jaime Thissen & Paul C. Davidson (2020) JA:2021-39.
 Development of Safety Scores for Selected Greenhouse Production Facilities in North America, Journal of Agromedicine, 25:3, 262, DOI: <u>10.1080/1059924X.2020.1765605</u>



ILLINOIS

Figure 4: Safety (SA-Score) Results per Facility

